| **Student Name:** Lydia |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be three minutes in length for today’s class.   * We need a hook that supports our side. * Nice work on explaining that non-vegans are likely to retaliate in hatred as this is a deep attack on their personal preference. * We need to explain more directly what you will do instead and why that will be effective. * Good work on illustrating how this shapes what it means to be activists. * Good work on explaining that we have a disproportionately higher number of non vegans who will all feel angry and attacked here. * Nice attempt at trying to resolve the clashes by explaining how fear is a less effective tactic than trying to convince.   3:11 | | | | | | |

| **Student Name:** Henry |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be four minutes in length for today’s class.   * Nice work on explaining that shaming people will infringe on people’s rights. Explain what exactly are the rights you will be violating - how will that affect this. * You don’t want to defend factory farming as an animal rights activist. Let’s try to debate this from the perspective of the animal right activists. * I don’t see how meat consumption has anything to do with small animals that die in factory of obesity. * Nice work on explaining that vegetarians will be labeled as sadistic people harming others. * Let’s try to minimize random laughter in the middle of the speech. * One example of one youtuber is not representative of all youtubers there. While it is a good idea to show what happens to vegan youtubers - try to explain why this is because of how much people are associated with eating meat - how important it is to people. * We still need alternatives on your side. And that can’t come after 4:30 as the speech time is 4-5 mins. We need more explanation of these alternatives. 5:10 | | | | | | |

| **Student Name:** Ashley |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be four minutes in length for today’s class.   * Good work on explaining how excessive the harm is to the animals. * Try to explain what are the objectives and incentives of the animal rights movement. * Nice illustration of the level of harm inflicted on the animals. * Good work on explaining why aggressively shaming is the only strategy that will be taken seriously. But here we need much more explanation on what aggressively shaming life looks like. * When you explain guilt tripping works - you need to explain how this works. Why will people self reflect instead of targeting the animal rights activists? * Try to minimize the pauses in the middle of the speech. * Try to minimize a bit of repetitions.   4:10 | | | | | | |

| **Student Name:** Marcus |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be four minutes in length for today’s class.   * Nice work on explaining that people never reflect the extent of pain and suffering that happens to the animals. * Good work on identifying ideas from the other side and challenging them. * Nice work on explaining the available alternatives to meat. * Good work on explaining that people care about their social image. Here, explain what public shaming would do to these people’s social image. Nice work on explaining how much people care about the social image. * Try to accept at least one POI in your speech. * You want to suggest why the opposition's strategy (continuing to do what animal right activists are doing ) is not effective. * Try to speak for a little bit longer.   3:30 | | | | | | |

| **Student Name:** Kris |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be four minutes in length for today’s class.   * Let’s try not to start your speech from Imagine. * Nice work on identifying that people will not let go of consumption just because of the fear of being shamed. * Nice work on explaining that animal right movement will get a lot of hate from the * You don't want to explain that it is essential to eat meat - as you are debating from the perspective of an animal rights activist. * Try to explain the impact of people shaming animal right activists. * Try to speak for longer.   1:58 | | | | | | |

| **Student Name:** Evelynne |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house, as the animal rights movement, would aggressively shame non-vegetarians |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:  Note: Speeches are meant to be four minutes in length for today’s class.   * Nice work on identifying that animal right activists should have the right to fight for the animals. * When you explain that animal right activists shame others, you would listen - try to explain why you would listen in a positive tone. * 2:00 | | | | | | |